

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 09/17/2003		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3895
10/664,196			Thomas Batzinger	131253 (1306-30)	
75	90	02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
GE Global Research			LAU, TUNG S		
Docket Room K	C-1/4A59	9			
One Research C	Circle			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Niskayuna, NY 12309			2863		

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H	4

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,196	BATZINGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tung S. Lau	2863		

Refere the Filing of an Annual Priof								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Tung S. Lau	2863						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	HE REPLY FILED 10 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	because					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beau appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		!:	V(DTOL 224)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessaring. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).					
0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. EQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)3. Other: See Continuation Sheet.								

Continuation of 13. Other: New issues 'directly measure the effects of defects in the structure' would require further search and consideration.

John Barlow

Supervisory Patent Examine
Technology Center 2800